United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-5233

September Term 2010

1:89-cv-02214-NHJ

Filed On: October 19, 2010

George Sassower,

Appellant

٧.

Richard L. Thornburgh, Attorney General, et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge; and Rogers and Griffith, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order entered June 25, 2010 be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's motion for relief under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). See Twelve John Does v. District of Columbia, 841 F.2d 1133, 1138 (D.C. Cir. 1988).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam